#### District Career Development Plan Analysis Individual LEA Protocol

LEA:	
Reviewer(s):	
Date:	
Donison In the deal (Cheede all that are les)	2. 1:44. 4. 4. 4
Review Included (Check all that apply)	3= Little technical assistance needed
CSIP with District Career Development Plan	2= Adjustments and some support may be needed
District action plan or more specific local planning document	1= Extensive technical help may be needed
One or more building plans (list buildings)	0= Need for follow-up to gather more information

## Collecting and Analyzing Student Data (See CSIP Constant Conversation #I A, B, and C)

1	3	2	1	0
	Scores are listed, with summary findings about	Scores are not included. Plan		
	student achievement with interpretation about	includes general summary of the	Scores are not	Can't tell
	patterns, trends, and implications. Analysis was	findings with interpretation about	included.	from plan
	detailed enough to lead to decisions about	patterns, trends, and implications.	Little analysis and	
	practice.	Analysis is general and of limited	interpretation are	
	(LRDA 1)	help in making decisions about	apparent in this plan.	
		practice.		
2	3	2	1	0
	Analysis includes the general population as well	Analysis includes findings and	Analysis reported in	
	as findings and implications for all subgroups	implications for some but not all	nonspecific terms so	Can't tell
	represented in the district. (LRDA 2, 4)	sub groups represented in the	that conclusion about	from plan
		district.	needs are difficult to	
			make.	

Comments:

# Goal Setting for Professional Development (See CSIP Constant Conversation #I D; II E, F)

3	3	2	1	0
	Professional Development Target is focused on	Professional Development Target	Target is on adult or	
	improving student achievement and provides skill	is focused on teaching practices	systems variable	Can't tell
	development in instruction – specifically Reading,	that impact students but not	rather than on	from plan
	Math or Science. (TQ3)	instruction (For example -	student. (Distal)	
		affective/behavioral/social		
		emotional).		
4	3	2	1	0
	Goals and PD Target are aligned with data. (TQ2)	Goals and PD target are not	No PD target .	Can't tell
		aligned with data.		from plan
5	3	2	1	0
	Target is based on the AIG/MAO and district goals		Initiatives listed	Can't tell
	but is narrower and more specific. (TQ1)	PD Target is too broad.	under PD are too	from plan
			numerous for targeted	_
			learning and	
			implementation.	

Comments:			
_			

6	3	2	1	0	
	There is a singular focus at the district/building for	There are 2-3 focus areas for PD	There are more than 3	Can't tell	
	PD – faculty will be able to focus on one major	that a faculty will need to address	focus areas for PD-	from plan	
	area at a time. (OK to have multiple emphases	at one time	evidence of		
	across district with variation at the building level		fragmentation		
	as long as this variation is based on data.)				
Comments:					

#### Selecting Content (See CSIP Constant Conversation #II F, Research Base II D)

7	3	2	1	0
	Plan describes PD content for all buildings and	Content described for some grade-	Plan does not	Can't tell
	grade-spans.	span/ buildings but not others.	describe content.	from plan
8	3	2	1	0
	Plan describes the decision making process for	Plan describes the decision making	No evidence of a	Can't tell
	selection of content: appropriate criteria were used	process but criteria not present.	process or criteria for	from plan
	to judge the quality of research. (PD5)		selecting content	
9	3	2	1	0
	Content is well grounded in research base –	Content is research related.	Content was selected	
	strategies are listed and these strategies are	Practices listed may have been	based on testimonial	Can't tell
	supported with studies that meet definitions of	based on research but never	data or studies/	from plan
	SBR.	evaluated using an experimental or	articles without a	
		quasi-experimental design.	research foundation	
			No apparent research	
			base.	

Comments:		

Trainers were identified (list):

Provider	identified	$(TQ 6)_{\underline{}}$
----------	------------	-------------------------

## **Design** (See CSIP Constant Conversation #II F 1 and 2)

10	3	2	1	0
	Design includes:	Design references elements	Design elements not	Can't tell
	Theory	(theory, demonstration, practice,	referenced	from plan
	Demonstration	collaboration) but it is unclear how		
	Practice	each element would be provided.		
	Collaboration			
	and plan is sufficiently focused to allow for all			
	design elements. (TQ7)			
11	3	2	1	0
	When more than one topic area is the subject of	Adequate time is provided for only		Can't tell
	training, time is provided for each area specified in	one area.		from plan
	the plan. (Leave blank if plan does not include			
	multiple topic areas.)			
12	3	2	1	0
	Design describes how time will be made available	Time is provided but not adequate	Time issues not	Can't tell
	for intensive PD training. Adequate time for	to support teacher learning.	addressed.	from plan
	training is provided.			
13	3	2	1	0
	Adequate time is set aside for collaboration.	Time planned for collaboration is	Time issues not	Can't tell
		insufficient to support	addressed.	from plan
		implementation.		

Comments:			
-			

## Ongoing Cycle (See CSIP Constant Conversation #II F and G)

14	3	2	1	0
	All teachers responsible for instruction are included in	Subset of faculty is included	Plan sends a few	Can't tell
	training and learning opportunities and collaboration.	in training and learning and	teachers to training	from plan
	(TQ8)	collaboration.	with the intent of	_
			"training-the-trainer"	
			without provisions	
			for supporting the	
			scaling up.	
15	3	2	1	0
	Implementation plans describe what the teachers will be	Implementation plan is	Study of	Can't tell
	studying and putting in place in the classroom – includes	referred to but there is	implementation is	from plan
	expectations for frequency of use. (TQ 10)	insufficient detail to	not addressed.	
		determine actual plan.		
16	3	2	1	0
	Plan includes a process for monitoring implementation –	Plans indicates that	Plan does not	Can't tell
	the plan describes how fidelity and frequency of	implementation will be	monitor	from plan
	implementation will be studied. (TQ 10)	monitored but does not	implementation.	_
		specify both frequency and		
		fidelity.		

Comments:			
_			

### Formative Evaluation (See CSIP Constant Conversation #III and IV B)

17	3	2	1	0
	Plan describes how students will be assessed to	Student progress is assessed but	Plan suggests formative	Can't tell
	see if they are responding to instruction that is the	the assessments don't align with	assessment procedures	from plan
	focus of the PD initiative (formative). The	the content being presented.	will be done but there	
	assessment aligns well with the content being		is insufficient	
	presented. (TQ 11, TQ 12)		information to know	
			what will be collected.	
18	3	2	1	0
	Formative data are collected frequently enough to	Formative assessments are listed	Formative assessment	Can't tell
	shape decisions about future. PD	but schedule is not included.	of PD initiative not	from plan
			addressed by plan.	

Comments:			
_			

### **Summative Evaluation (See CSIP Constant Conversation #IV)**

19	3	1	0
	Summative looks at ITBS/ITEDs plus other	No summative plan.	Can't tell
	assessments including end of the year	-	from plan
	review of formative data as per CSIP		-
	requirements. (TQ 12)		

Comments:				
_				

# **List PD Target and Content:**

	All levels/Grade spans	Elementary	Middle School	High School
Reading		-		
Target				
Reading				
Content:				
Math				
Target:				
Math				
Content:				
Science				
Target:				
G.i				
Science				
Content:				
Other				
Target:				
141500				
Other				
Content:				